JUL 1 1986

594-C-43

FEM 1.209:481581

ORIGINAL

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

COMPLETED

38

CITY OF THE COLONY, TEXAS
DENTON COUNTY



SEPTEMBER 29, 1986

Federal Emergency Management Agency

COMMUNITY NUMBER -481581

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Purpose of Study	1
	1.2 Authority and Acknowledgements	1
	1.3 Coordination	1
2.0	AREA STUDIED	2
	2.1 Scope of Study	2
	2.2 Community Description	2
	2.3 Principal Flood Problems	4
	2.4 Flood Protection Measures	4
3.0	ENGINEERING METHODS	4
	3.1 Hydrologic Analyses	5
	3.2 Hydraulic Analyses	6
4.0	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS	7
	4.1 Flood Boundaries	8
	4.2 Floodways	8
5.0	INSURANCE APPLICATION	12
	5.1 Reach Determinations	12
	5.2 Flood Hazard Factors	12
	5.3 Flood Insurance Zones	14
	5.4 Flood Insurance Rate Map Description	14

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS - continued

	Page
6.0 OTHER STUDIES	14
7.0 LOCATION OF DATA	15
8.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	15

FIGURES

Figure 1 - Vicinity Map	3
Figure 2 - Floodway Schematic	11

TABLES

Table 1 - Summary of Discharges	5 - 6
Table 2 - Summary of Stillwater Elevations	6
Table 3 - Floodway Data	9 - 10
Table 4 - Flood Insurance Zone Data	13

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 - Flood Profiles

Office Creek	Panels 01P - 02P
Stream SC-1	Panels 03P - 04P
Stream SC-1A	Panel 05P - 06P
Stream SC-2	Panel 07P

Exhibit 2 - Flood Boundary and Floodway Map Index
Flood Boundary and Floodway Map

PUBLISHED SEPARATELY:

Flood Insurance Rate Map Index
Flood Insurance Rate Map

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY
CITY OF THE COLONY, TEXAS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Study

This Flood Insurance Study investigates the existence and severity of flood hazards in the City of The Colony, Denton County, Texas, and aids in the administration of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973. This study has developed flood risk data for various areas of the community that will be used to establish actuarial flood insurance rates and assist the community in their efforts to promote sound flood plain management. Minimum flood plain management requirements for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program are set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations at 44 CFR, 60.3.

In some states or communities, flood plain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive or comprehensive than the minimum Federal requirements. In such cases, the more restrictive criteria take precedence and the state (or other jurisdictional agency) will be able to explain them.

1.2 Authority and Acknowledgments

The sources of authority for this Flood Insurance Study are the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973.

The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for this study were prepared by the Fort Worth District of the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), under Inter-Agency Agreement No. EMW-E-0941, Project Order No. 12. This work was completed in January 1984. The hydraulic analysis for Stream SC-1A was updated by Dannenbaum Engineering due to the channelization of the stream. This work was completed in May 1985.

1.3 Coordination

On August 17, 1982, a combined time and cost and initial Consultation and Coordination Officer's (CCO) meeting, attended by representatives of FEMA, the City of The Colony, and the COE (the study contractor), was held to identify the streams to be studied by detailed methods. At this meeting, the city also presented the study contractor with a community boundary map.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

On December 3, 1984, the results of the study were reviewed at a final CCO meeting held with representatives of FEMA and the city.

2.0 AREA STUDIED

2.1 Scope of Study

This Flood Insurance Study covers the incorporated area of the City of The Colony, Denton County, Texas. The area of study is shown on the Vicinity Map (Figure 1).

The following flooding sources were studied by detailed methods: Office Creek, from the corporate limits to upstream of stream mile 15,350; Stream SC-1, for its entire length within the community; Stream SC-1A, from the downstream corporate limits to a point approximately 1,300 feet upstream of North Colony Boulevard; Stream SC-2, for its entire length within the community; and Lewisville Lake. The areas studied by detailed methods were selected with priority given to all known flood hazard areas and areas of projected development and proposed construction through May 1990.

Portions of Office Creek, Stream SC-1, Stream SC-1A, and an unnamed tributary of Office Creek were studied by approximate methods. Approximate analyses were used to study those areas having a low development potential or minimal flood hazards. The scope and methods of study were proposed to, and agreed upon by, FEMA and the City of The Colony.

2.2 Community Description

The City of The Colony is located in north central Texas in the southeast portion of Denton County. It is situated approximately 16 miles north of downtown Dallas on the southeast side of Lewisville Lake. The Colony is bordered by the City of Lewisville to the west and the unincorporated areas of Denton County to the north, south, and east. Lewisville Lake borders the city to the west. According to U. S. Census Bureau figures, the population in 1980 was 11,586 (References 1 and 2). The land area contained within the corporate limits of The Colony is approximately 6.7 square miles.

Office Creek flows from east to west into Lewisville Lake in the southwest portion of the city. It is approximately 2.4 stream miles long and has a drainage area of approximately 2.6 square miles.

Stream SC-1 flows through the northern portion of the city. This stream is approximately 2.8 stream miles long and has a drainage area of approximately 2.5 square miles.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Stream SC-1A flows through the northern portion of the city into Stream SC-1. It is approximately 2.8 stream miles long and has a drainage area of approximately 1.2 square miles.

Stream SC-2 flows from south to north in the northeast portion of the city. It is approximately 5.7 stream miles long, but only approximately 1.1 stream miles are within the corporate limits. Stream SC-2 has a drainage area of approximately 5.7 square miles.

The climatological data at Fort Worth are considered indicative of the conditions prevailing in the region. Average rainfall is 32.30 inches for the last 10-year period. The maximum and minimum annual rainfall occurring during the last 57 years was 51.03 inches in 1932 and 17.91 inches in 1921, respectively. The mean relative humidity is 65 percent, and the average temperature is 65.8 degrees Fahrenheit (Reference 3).

2.3 Principal Flood Problems

Generally, the major floods experienced in the vicinity are produced by heavy rainfall from frontal type storms which occur in the spring and summer months. Major flooding can be produced by the intense rainfall usually associated with localized thunderstorms. These thunderstorms may occur at any time during the year but are more prevalent in the spring and summer months.

This is a new community, and no documented history of flooding within the community was found.

2.4 Flood Protection Measures

Nonstructural measures of flood protection in the form of land use regulations and ordinances are being used to aid in the prevention of future flood damage.

3.0 ENGINEERING METHODS

For the flooding sources studied in detail in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude which are expected to be equaled or exceeded once on the average during any 10-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for flood plain management and for flood insurance rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10, 2, 1, and 0.2 percent chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year. Although the recurrence interval represents the long term average

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood which equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1 percent chance of annual exceedence) in any 50-year period is approximately 40 percent (4 in 10), and, for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

3.1 Hydrologic Analyses

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak discharge-frequency relationships for each flooding source studied in detail affecting the community.

The computer program NUDALLAS was used in developing the hydrology for the flooding sources studied by detailed methods (Reference 4). The watershed was divided into subareas, and synthetic unit and flood hydrographs were developed at selected locations. Weather Bureau Technical Paper No. 40 and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Memorandum NWS Hydro-35 were used in developing the 10-, 50-, and 100-year frequency storms (References 5 and 6). The 500-year storm was based on extrapolated data. Peak discharge-frequency values were computed for selected locations. Routing of the flood hydrographs through each subbasin reach was accomplished using a modified Puls reservoir routing method. The HEC-2 step-backwater model provided the elevation-discharge-storage relationships for each reach on Office Creek, Stream SC-1, Stream SC-1A, and Stream SC-2 (Reference 7).

A summary of drainage area-peak discharge relationships for the streams studied by detailed methods is shown in Table 1, "Summary of Discharges."

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY OF DISCHARGES

FLOODING SOURCE AND LOCATION	DRAINAGE AREA (sq. miles)	PEAK DISCHARGES (cfs) 10-YEAR	50-YEAR	100-YEAR	500-YEAR
OFFICE CREEK					
At confluence with Lewisville Lake[1]	2.56	3,050	4,150	4,700	5,700
At FM 423	2.00	2,950	4,000	4,500	5,450
Approximately 500 feet upstream of Blair Oaks Road	1.33	2,250	3,050	3,400	4,150

[1]Located outside corporate limits

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY OF DISCHARGES - continued

FLOODING SOURCE AND LOCATION	DRAINAGE AREA (sq. miles)	PEAK DISCHARGES (cfs) 10-YEAR	50-YEAR	100-YEAR	500-YEAR
STREAM SC-1					
Immediately downstream of confluence of Stream SC-1A[1]	2.47	4,950	6,650	7,400	9,100
Immediately upstream of confluence of Stream SC-1A[1]	1.24	2,450	3,250	3,600	4,500
Immediately downstream of Miller Drive	0.75	1,750	2,300	2,500	3,200
STREAM SC-1A					
At confluence with Stream SC-1[1]	1.23	2,350	3,100	3,450	4,350
STREAM SC-2					
Immediately above confluence of major right bank tribututary at Lewisville Lake[1]	4.44	5,600	7,650	8,550	10,550
At St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad	4.11	5,400	7,350	8,250	10,000

[1]Located outside corporate limits

The stillwater elevations for the 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods have been determined for Lewisville Lake and are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2 - SUMMARY OF STILLWATER ELEVATIONS

FLOODING SOURCE AND LOCATION	ELEVATION (feet) 10-YEAR	50-YEAR	100-YEAR	500-YEAR
LEWISVILLE LAKE	529.5	535.0	537.0	541.0

3.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Cross sections for the backwater analyses of the streams studied by detailed methods were field surveyed. Cross sections were located at close intervals above and below bridges and culverts in order to compute the significant backwater effects of these structures.

Locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses are shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1). For stream segments for which a floodway was computed (Section 4.2), selected cross section locations are also shown on the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (Exhibit 2).

Channel roughness factors (Manning's "n") used in the hydraulic computations were assigned on the basis of field inspection of flood plain areas and by engineering judgment. The following tabulation shows the channel and overbank "n" values for the streams studied by detailed methods. This range of roughness values does not reflect the values used at the bridges for the channel or overbanks.

Stream	Channel "n"	Overbank "n"
Office Creek	0.065-0.070	0.085-0.095
Stream SC-1	0.055-0.065	0.060-0.085
Stream SC-1A	0.012-0.060	0.045-0.085
Stream SC-2	0.050-0.075	0.060-0.085

Water-surface elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals for the streams studied by detailed methods were computed using the COE HEC-2 step-backwater computer program (Reference 7). Flood profiles were drawn showing computed water-surface elevations for floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Starting water-surface elevations were calculated using the slope/area method.

All elevations are referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD). Elevation reference marks used in this study are shown on the maps.

The hydraulic analyses for this study were based on unobstructed flow. The flood elevations shown on the profiles are thus considered valid only if hydraulic structures remain unobstructed, operate properly, and do not fail.

4.0 FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

The National Flood Insurance Program encourages State and local governments to adopt sound flood plain management programs. Therefore, each Flood Insurance Study produces maps designed to assist communities in developing flood plain management measures.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

4.1 Flood Boundaries

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1 percent annual chance (100-year) flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for flood plain management purposes. The 0.2 percent annual chance (500-year) flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood risk in the community. For each stream studied in detail, the 100- and 500-year flood plain boundaries have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section. Between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using topographic maps (Reference 8).

For the streams studied by approximate methods, only the 100-year flood plain boundary has been shown. The approximate boundary was delineated using topographic maps, drainage areas, and engineering judgment (Reference 8).

The 100- and 500-year flood plain boundaries are shown on the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (Exhibit 2). In cases where the 100- and 500-year flood plain boundaries are close together, only the 100-year flood plain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the flood plain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

4.2 Floodways

Encroachment on flood plains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of flood plain management involves balancing the economic gain from flood plain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard. For purposes of the National Flood Insurance Program, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in this aspect of flood plain management. Under this concept, the area of the 100-year flood plain is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent flood plain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 100-year flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. Minimum federal standards limit such increases to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. The floodways in this study are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway studies.

The floodways presented in this study were computed on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the flood plain. The results of these computations are tabulated at selected cross sections for each stream segment for which a floodway is computed (Table 3).

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			BASE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
					(FEET NGVD)			
Office Creek								
A	9,500[1]	150	810	5.8	541.1	541.1	541.6	0.5
B	10,650[1]	100	665	7.1	551.5	551.5	552.0	0.5
C	12,200[1]	120	662	6.8	561.1	561.1	561.8	0.7
D	13,960[1]	120	803	4.2	578.2	578.2	578.5	0.3
E	15,350[1]	180	661	5.1	584.9	584.9	585.8	0.4
Stream SC-1								
A	7,045[1]	113	601	6.0	551.4	551.4	552.4	1.0
B	7,715[1]	100	925	3.9	562.6	562.6	562.7	0.1
C	8,030[1]	128	883	4.1	563.1	563.1	563.6	0.5
D	9,210[1]	100	412	6.1	572.3	572.3	572.8	0.5
E	9,615[1]	100	476	5.2	576.6	576.6	577.3	0.7
F	10,345[1]	110	457	5.5	580.1	580.1	580.8	0.7
Stream SC-1A								
A	1,615[2]	*	*	*	539.5	539.5	540.4	0.9
B	3,630[2]	180	786	4.4	549.1	549.1	549.7	0.6
C	4,945[2]	151	655	3.2	555.7	555.7	556.7	1.0
D	5,850[2]	58	302	6.9	564.6	564.6	564.8	0.2
E	6,920[2]	90	482	4.4	571.4	571.4	572.3	0.9
F	8,000[2]	112	365	4.1	578.0	578.0	578.0	0.0

[1]Feet above confluence with Stewart Creek

[2]Feet above confluence with Stream SC-1

*Floodway within government property boundary

TABLE 3

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY

(DENTON CO.)

FLOODWAY DATA

Office Creek-Stream SC-1-Stream SC-1A

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			BASE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE[1]	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
					(FEET NGVD)			
Stream SC-2								
A	7,615	360	2,022	4.2	570.3	570.3	570.9	0.6
B	8,280	380	2,260	3.7	571.5	571.5	572.4	0.9
C	8,850	400	2,068	4.0	572.6	572.6	573.6	1.0
D	10,070	370	1,874	4.4	580.0	580.0	580.5	0.5
E	10,625	300	1,646	5.0	581.4	581.4	582.3	0.9
F	11,830	330	1,806	4.6	586.5	586.5	587.2	0.7

[1]Feet above confluence with Stewart Creek

TABLE 3

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX

(DENTON CO.)

FLOODWAY DATA

STREAM SC-2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

As shown on the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (Exhibit 2), the floodway boundaries were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated. In cases where the floodway and 100-year flood plain boundaries are either close together or collinear, only the floodway boundary has been shown.

Floodways were not delineated for portions of Office Creek and Stream SC-1A within the government property fee and/or flowage easement boundary since the COE flood plain regulations are more restrictive.

The area between the floodway and 100-year flood plain boundaries is termed the floodway fringe. The floodway fringe encompasses the portion of the flood plain that could be completely obstructed without increasing the water-surface elevation of the 100-year flood by more than 1.0 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to flood plain developments are shown in Figure 2.


100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN
FLOODWAY FRINGE
FLOODWAY
FLOODWAY FRINGE
STREAM CHANNEL
FLOOD ELEVATION WHEN CONFINED WITHIN FLOODWAY
ENCROACHMENT
ENCROACHMENT
C
D
A
B
SURCHARGE*
AREA OF FLOOD PLAIN THAT COULD BE USED FOR DEVELOPMENT BY RAISING GROUND
FLOOD ELEVATION BEFORE ENCROACHMENT ON FLOOD PLAIN
LINE A - B IS THE FLOOD ELEVATION BEFORE ENCROACHMENT
LINE C - D IS THE FLOOD ELEVATION AFTER ENCROACHMENT
*SURCHARGE NOT TO EXCEED 1.0 FOOT (FEMA REQUIREMENT) OR LESSER AMOUNT IF SPECIFIED BY STATE.

FLOODWAY SCHEMATIC Figure 2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

5.0 INSURANCE APPLICATION

To establish actuarial insurance rates, data from the engineering study must be transformed into flood insurance criteria. This process includes the determination of reaches, Flood Hazard Factors, and flood insurance zone designations for each flooding source studied in detail in the City of The Colony.

5.1 Reach Determinations

Reaches are defined as sections of flood plain that have relatively the same flood hazard, based on the average weighted difference in water-surface elevations between the 10- and 100-year floods. This difference may not have a variation greater than that indicated in the following tabulation for more than 20 percent of the reach:

Average Difference Between 10- and 100-Year Floods	Variation
Less than 2 feet	0.5 foot
2 to 7 feet	1.0 foot
7.1 to 12 feet	2.0 feet
More than 12 feet	3.0 feet

The locations of the reaches determined for the flooding sources of the City of The Colony are shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1) and summarized in Table 4.

5.2 Flood Hazard Factors

The Flood Hazard Factor (FHF) is used to establish relationships between depth and frequency of flooding in any reach. This relationship is then used with depth-damage relationships for various classes of structures to establish actuarial insurance rate tables.

The FHF for a reach is the average weighted difference between the 10- and 100-year flood water-surface elevations rounded to the nearest one-half foot, multiplied by 10, and shown as a three-digit code. For example, if the difference between water-surface elevations of the 10- and 100-year floods is 0.7 foot, the FHF is 005; if the difference is 1.4 feet, the FHF is 015; if the difference is 5.0 feet, the FHF is 050. When the difference between the 10- and 100-year flood water-surface elevations is greater than 10.0 feet, it is rounded to the nearest whole foot.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FLOODING SOURCE	PANEL[1]	ELEVATION DIFFERENCE[2] BETWEEN 1.0% (100-YEAR) FLOOD AND			FHF	ZONE	BASE FLOOD ELEVATION[3] (NGVD)
		10% (10 YR.)	2% (50 YR.)	0.2% (500 YR.)			
Office Creek							
Reach 1	03	-1.6	-0.4	+0.7	015	A3	Varies
Reach 2	03	-3.8	-0.7	+0.6	040	A8	Varies
Reach 3	03	-2.1	-0.5	+0.8	020	A4	Varies
Reach 4	03	-1.4	-0.4	+0.8	015	A3	Varies
Reach 5	03	-0.7	-0.1	+0.3	005	A1	Varies
Stream SC-1							
Reach 1	01	-1.0	-0.3	+0.6	010	A2	Varies
Stream SC-1A							
Reach 1	01,02	-0.9	-0.2	+0.6	010	A2	Varies
Stream SC-2							
Reach 1	02	-1.1	-0.3	+0.6	010	A2	Varies
Lewisville Lake	01,03	-7.5	-2.0	+4.0	075	A15	537

[1]Flood Insurance Rate Map Panel
[2]Weighted Average
[3]Rounded to the nearest foot - see map

TABLE 4

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY

(DENTON CO.)

FLOOD INSURANCE ZONE DATA

Office Creek-Stream SC-1-Stream SC-1A-Stream SC-2-Lewisville Lake

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

5.3 Flood Insurance Zones

Flood insurance zones and zone numbers are assigned based on the type of flood hazard and the FHF, respectively. A unique zone number is associated with each possible FHF, and varies from 1 for a FHF of 005 to a maximum of 30 for a FHF of 200 or greater.

Zone A:	Special Flood Hazard Areas inundated by the 100-year flood, determined by approximate methods; no base flood elevations shown or FHFs determined.
Zones A1, A2, A3, A4, A8, and A15:	Special Flood Hazard Areas inundated by the 100-year flood; with base flood elevations shown, and zones subdivided according to FHFs.
Zone B:	Areas between the Special Flood Hazard Areas and the limits of the 500-year flood; areas that are protected from the 100- or 500-year floods by dike, levee, or other water control structure; areas subject to certain types of 100-year shallow flooding where depths are less than 1.0 foot; and areas subject to 100-year flooding from sources with drainage areas less than 1 square mile. Zone B is not subdivided.
Zone C:	Areas of minimal flooding; not subdivided.

Flood elevation differences, FHFs, flood insurance zones, and base flood elevations for the flooding sources studied in detail in the community are shown in Table 4.

5.4 Flood Insurance Rate Map Description

The Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of The Colony is, for insurance purposes, the principal result of the Flood Insurance Study. This map contains the official delineation of flood insurance zones and base flood elevations. Base flood elevation lines show the locations of the expected whole-foot water-surface elevation of the base (100-year) flood. The base flood elevations and zone numbers are used by insurance agents, in conjunction with structure elevations and characteristics, to assign actuarial insurance rates to structures and contents insured under the National Flood Insurance Program.

6.0 OTHER STUDIES

The Flood Insurance Study for the unincorporated areas of Denton County is currently being prepared (Reference 9). The results of that study will be in exact agreement with the results of this study for The Colony.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Due to the more detailed analyses, this Flood Insurance Study supersedes the Flood Hazard Boundary Map for The Colony (Reference 10).

7.0 LOCATION OF DATA

Information concerning the pertinent data used in preparation of this study can be obtained by contacting the Natural and Technological Hazards Division, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Regional Director, Region VI, Federal Center, Denton, Texas 76201.

8.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

1. U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1980 Census of Population, Number of Inhabitants, Texas, Washington, D. C., U. S. Government Printing Office, 1981.

2. Texas Almanac, 1982-1983, by A. M. Belo Corporation, Texas, 1984.

3. U. S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Data Service, Monthly and Annual Climatological Data for Texas, Washington, D. C.

4. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District, Computer Program NUDALLAS, Fort Worth, Texas, revised September 1982.

5. U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, Technical Paper No. 40, Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States, Washington, D. C., 1961, Revised 1963.

6. U. S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NWS Hydro-35, 5- to 60-Minute Precipitation Frequency for the Eastern and Central United States, Washington, D. C., June 1977.

7. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles, Generalized Computer Program, Davis, California, January 1981, with updates.

8. U. S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Maps, Scale 1:24,000, Contour Interval 10 Feet: Lewisville East, 1960, Photorevised 1968.

9. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Study, Unincorporated Areas of Denton County, Texas (Unpublished).

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

10. U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Hazard Boundary Map, Unincorporated Areas of Denton County, Texas, May 27, 1977.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

OFFICE CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX
(DENTON CO.)

01P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

OFFICE CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX
(DENTON CO.)

02P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BLANK PAGE

BLANK PAGE

BLANK PAGE



FLOOD PROFILES

STREAM SC-1

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX
(DENTON CO.)

03P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

STREAM SC-1

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX
(DENTON CO.)

04P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

STREAM SC-1A

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX

(DENTON CO.)

05P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FLOOD PROFILES

STREAM SC-1A

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX

(DENTON CO.)

06P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

STREAM SC-2

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF THE COLONY, TX
(DENTON CO.)

07P

BEST COPY AVAILABLE